

the same powers and prerogatives in each of the several counties of the state as the county attorneys have in their respective counties. So I'm suggesting in this amendment that you simply strike the words "upon request" which would make the existing statutes operative, which means that the Attorney General would have concurrent jurisdiction with the local county attorneys. I think that is particularly good in this particular case because these can be very complex kinds of cases involving warehouse receipts and involved financial transactions. I don't think that you want to degrade the Attorney General's Office's ability to have concurrent jurisdiction in this area. I would ask the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Haberman, please.

SENATOR HABERMAN: You are giving them current (sic) jurisdiction, right? I have no objection to the amendment, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Any further discussion? Did you wish to close, Senator Beutler?

SENATOR BEUTLER: (Response inaudible.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question is, shall the bill be returned? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 33 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to return the bill, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The bill is returned. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: (Response inaudible.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: All those in favor of adopting the amendment vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Beutler's amendment to LB 389, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The Beutler amendment is adopted. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: (Response inaudible.)